arXiv:1211.6983v1 [gr-qc] 24 Nov 2012

Unified Bertotti-Robinson and Melvin Spacetimes

M. Halilsoy* and S. Habib Mazharimousavi†
Department of Physics, Eastern Mediterranean University,
G. Magusa, north Cyprus, Mersin 10, Turkey.

We present a new solution for the Einstein-Maxwell (EM) equations which describes both the magnetic Bertotti-Robinson (BR) and Melvin (ML) solutions in a single metric. Due to the intrinsic nonlinearity such superposed solutions are rather rare in general relativity.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Bertotti-Robinson (BR) [1] and Melvin (ML) [2] solutions of Einstein-Maxwell (EM) theory are well-known for a long time which had significant impacts on different aspects of general relativity. For decades they remained in fashion and found applications in connection with stellar objects, cosmology, string theory etc. A recent study discusses the similarities / differences between these spacetimes [3]. It is shown, among other things in [3] for instance, that the only geodesically complete static EM spacetimes are the BR and ML solutions. Since they share more common properties than contrasts, the natural question arises whether it is possible to describe both solutions in a common metric. This is precisely what we show in this paper.

BR spacetime is conformally flat whereas ML is asymptotically flat with nonzero conformal curvature. Both are singularity free; a feature that makes them attractive in cosmology and string theory. We remark also that the BR solution can be obtained by a coordinate transformation [4] from a spacetime of colliding electromagnetic waves known as Bell-Szekeres solution [5]. Using the Ernst formalism we showed long ago that within this formalism Bell-Szekeres [5] and Khan-Penrose [6] solutions can be combined through a suitable seed function [7]. Also Schwarzschild and BR spacetimes were interpolated by the electromagnetic parameter in the oblate spheroidal coordinates [8]. Within similar context superposition of spinning spheroids [9] from harmonic seed functions in the Zipoy-Voorhees metric [10] were obtained. It is remarkable that the superposition of BR and ML solutions takes place in the static axial coordinates (ρ, z) instead of oblate/ prolate coordinates. The latter coordinate systems are known to admit separability in the Laplace equation and had much impact in the development of solution generation techniques.

II. MAGNETIC FIELDS IN STATIC AXIAL SYMMETRY

To review the basics of an axially symmetric spacetime we start with the line element

$$ds^2 = -e^{2U}dt^2 + e^{-2U}\left[e^{2K}\left(d\rho^2 + dz^2\right) + \lambda^2 d\varphi^2\right] \tag{1}$$

in which U, K and λ are functions of ρ and z alone. The EM field equations can be derived from a variational principle of the action

$$I = \int L d\rho dz \tag{2}$$

where

$$L = K_\rho \lambda_\rho + K_z \lambda_z - \lambda\left[U_\rho^2 + U_z^2 - e^{-2U}\left(\psi_\rho^2 + \psi_z^2\right)\right]. \tag{3}$$

Here f_ρ / f_z denotes partial derivative of a function $f(\rho, z)$ with respect to ρ / z and ψ is a magnetic potential. Upon variation the metric function λ is fixed as $\lambda = \rho$, while the two basic equations take the forms

$$\left(\rho U_\rho\right)_\rho + \rho U_{zz} - \rho e^{-2U}\left(\psi_\rho^2 + \psi_z^2\right) = 0 \tag{4}$$

$$\left(\rho e^{-2U}\psi_\rho\right)_\rho + \rho\left(e^{-2U}\psi_z\right)_z = 0. \tag{5}$$

---

*Electronic address: mustafa.halilsoy@emu.edu.tr
†Electronic address: habib.mazhari@emu.edu.tr

The K function is determined more appropriately by the set

$$K_\rho = \rho\left(U_\rho^2 - U_z^2\right) + \rho e^{-2U}\left(\psi_z^2 - \psi_\rho^2\right) \tag{6}$$

$$K_z = 2\rho U_\rho U_z - 2\rho e^{-2U}\psi_\rho\psi_z \tag{7}$$

whose integrability condition is satisfied by virtue of the field equations. The magnetic vector potential is chosen simply by

$$A_\mu = \delta_\mu^\varphi \Phi \tag{8}$$

for a function $\Phi(\rho, z)$ which is related to ψ above through

$$\Phi_\rho = \rho e^{-2U}\psi_z \tag{9}$$

$$\Phi_z = -\rho e^{-2U}\psi_\rho. \tag{10}$$

The dual of the field tensor ${}^\star F_{ti} = \psi_i$ implies the absence of any electric components which is our choice here. In [3] BR and ML solutions are summarized in details so that we can only record them in what follows:

A. BR and ML solutions

1. *The BR solution*

$$U = \ln\lambda_0 + \frac{1}{2}\ln\left(\rho^2 + z^2\right) \tag{11}$$

$$\psi = \lambda_0\sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2} \tag{12}$$

$$K = \text{const.} \tag{13}$$

$$(\lambda_0 = \text{constant.})$$

Note that the more familiar $AdS_2 \times S^2$ version of BR spacetime is given upon the transformation

$$\rho = \frac{\sin\theta}{r}, \tag{14}$$

$$z = \frac{\cos\theta}{r}, \tag{15}$$

by

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{r^2}\left(-dt^2 + dr^2\right) + d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2. \tag{16}$$

2. *The ML solution*

$$U = \ln\left(1 + \frac{B_0^2}{4}\rho^2\right) \tag{17}$$

$$\psi = B_0 z \tag{18}$$

$$K = 2\ln\left(1 + \frac{B_0^2}{4}\rho^2\right) \tag{19}$$

$$(B_0 = \text{constant.})$$

B. A new EM solution

We proceed now to combine the foregoing solutions with the choice $\lambda_0 = 1$ (in BR solution this amounts to a unit charge). For this purpose we take the magnetic potential as

$$\psi = \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2} + B_0 z \tag{20}$$

where B_0 is the constant of the ML solution. Integration of the field equations from Eq. (4) to Eq. (7) yields the following results

$$e^U = F \tag{21}$$

$$e^K = \frac{F^2}{\rho^2 + z^2} \left(\frac{\rho^{1+\frac{B_0}{2}}}{z + \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2}} \right)^{2B_0} \tag{22}$$

where the function F is given by

$$2F = \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2} \left(\rho^{B_0} + \rho^{-B_0} \right) + z \left(\rho^{-B_0} - \rho^{B_0} \right). \tag{23}$$

It is observed easily that setting $B_0 = 0$ recovers the BR solution with a unit charge so that the solution (20-23) represents the combined solution.

The scalar invariants of the new solution are given by:

$$R = 0, \tag{24}$$

$$\lim_{z \to 0} R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} = 1024 \frac{\left(B_0^2 + 1 \right)^2 \rho^{4B_0(2-B_0)}}{\left(\rho^{2B_0} + 1 \right)^8}, \tag{25}$$

$$\lim_{z \to 0} R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = \frac{256}{\left(\rho^{2B_0} + 1 \right)^8} \left(\xi_1 \rho^{4B_0(3-B_0)} + \xi_2 \rho^{4B_0(1-B_0)} + \xi_3 \rho^{4B_0\left(\frac{5}{2}-B_0\right)} + \xi_4 \rho^{4B_0\left(\frac{3}{2}-B_0\right)} + \xi_5 \rho^{4B_0(2-B_0)} \right) \tag{26}$$

where

$$\xi_1 = 3 + 9B_0 + 13B_0^2 + 9B_0^3 + 7B_0^4 + 3B_0^5 + B_0^6$$

$$\xi_2 = 3 - 9B_0 + 13B_0^2 - 9B_0^3 + 7B_0^4 - 3B_0^5 + B_0^6$$

$$\xi_3 = -2 \left(6 + 9B_0 + 12B_0^2 + 9B_0^3 + 6B_0^4 + 3B_0^5 \right)$$

$$\xi_4 = -2 \left(6 - 9B_0 + 12B_0^2 - 9B_0^3 + 6B_0^4 - 3B_0^5 \right)$$

$$\xi_5 = 2 \left(13 + 19B_0^2 + 9B_0^4 - B_0^6 \right).$$

Finally the Weyl's scalar reads

$$\lim_{z \to 0} C_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} C^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = \frac{256}{\left(1 + \rho^{2B_0} \right)^8} \left[\xi_1 \rho^{4B_0(3-B_0)} + \xi_2 \rho^{4B_0(1-B_0)} + \xi_3 \rho^{4B_0\left(\frac{5}{2}-B_0\right)} + \xi_4 \rho^{4B_0\left(\frac{3}{2}-B_0\right)} + \tilde{\xi}_5 \rho^{4B_0(2-B_0)} \right] \tag{27}$$

where ξ_i for $1 \leq i \leq 4$ are as in (26) but

$$\tilde{\xi}_5 = 2 \left(9 + 11B_0^2 + 5B_0^4 - B_0^6 \right).$$

We add that, as it is expected, the Weyl's scalar in the limit $B_0 \to 0$ vanishes. All invariants are clearly regular for $z = 0$ and $\rho = 0$ provided $0 \leq B_0 \leq 1$. For $B_0 < 0$ or $B_0 > 0$ our solution turns out to be singular at $z = 0 = \rho$, which means that superposition restricts the ML parameter to a narrow interval. The Maxwell 2-form is expressed by

$$F = (\Phi_\rho d\rho + \Phi_z dz) \wedge d\varphi \tag{28}$$

where Φ_ρ and Φ_z are defined by (9) and (10). As a result we obtain for the Maxwell invariants

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = e^{-2K} \left(1 + B_0^2 + \frac{2 B_0 z}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2}} \right), \tag{29}$$

$$I_2 = \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} {}^{\star}F^{\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{30}$$

in which K was found in (19).

III. CONCLUSION

Being inspired by the superposed solutions in colliding wave spacetimes which unfortunately received no attentions we show here in a similar manner that BR and ML spacetimes can be combined in a single metric. The distinction between the two problems, i.e. colliding waves and axial symmetry, is that in the latter case superposition worked in the more familiar cylindrical (ρ, z) coordinates rather than the prolate / oblate ones. The obtained new metric inherits the imprints of both solutions. It is not conformally flat for instance, and regularity at the origin i.e. at $\rho = z = 0$, holds provided the ML parameter lies in $0 < B_0 < 1$. For an arbitrary ML parameter, however, our solution becomes singular at the origin. Finally we add that this simple example may serve to pave the way for further superposed spacetimes in general relativity, including the higher dimensional ones.

---

[1] B. Bertotti, Phys. Rev. **116**, 1331 (1959);
I. Robinson, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astr. Phys. **7**, 351 (1959).
[2] M. A. Melvin, Phys. Letters **8**, 65 (1964).
[3] D. Garfinkle and E N Glass, Class. Quantum Grav. **28**, 215012 (2011).
[4] S. Chandrasekhar and B. C. Xanthopoulos Proc. Roy. Soc. A **140**, 311 (1987).
[5] P. Bell and P. Szekeres, Gen. Rel. Grav. **5**, 275 (1974).
[6] K. A. Khan and R. Penrose, Nature, **229**, 185 (1971).
[7] M. Halilsoy, J. Math. Phys. **34**, 3553 (1993).
[8] M. Halilsoy, Gen. Rel. Grav. **25**, 275 (1992).
[9] M. Halilsoy, J. Math. Phys. **33**, 4225 (1992).
[10] D. M. Zipoy, J. Math. Phys. **7**, 1137 (1966)
B. H. Voorhees, Phys. Rev. D. **2**, 2119 (1970).